

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/954,766	09/18/2001	Anthony J. Mancuso	ELRP:101_US_	8533	
24041 75	590 06/12/2003				
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC			EXAMINER		
5555 MAIN ST WILLIAMSVI	TREET LLE, NY 14221-5406		JILLIONS, JOHN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654	•	
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>\</u>				AAt			
:		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/954,766	MANCUSO ET AL.				
) ور د	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John M. Jillions	3654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r - Any reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) ded for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto eply within the set or extended period for reply will, acceived by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1)⊠ R€	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 <i>April 2003</i></u> .					
2a)⊠ Th	is action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Cla	m(s) $1-12$ is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.					
5) □ Cla	im(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Cla	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)□ Cla	')□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
_	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)∐ A	II b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	-						
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	.948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 09/954,766

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rodriguez et al for the reasons set forth in paragraph 3) of the previous Office action. Further, the coil reel hold down device of Rodriguez et al is "free-standing" as can be see in Fig. 9, with a "stand-alone" means 274 for effecting pivoting movement of the snubber arm 270 relative to base plate 268. The base plate 268 is secured to the floor 42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al for the reasons set forth in paragraph 4) of the previous Office action.
- 5. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al in view of Orii for the reasons set forth in paragraph 5 of the previous Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,766

Årt Unit: 3654

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Rodriguez does not anticipate various of the claims since Rodriguez does not teach a "free-standing" coil reel hold-down device which includes a "standalone" means for effecting a pivoting movement of the snubber arm relative to the base plate since it is part of a large, complex expensive feeding apparatus. However, as pointed out in the rejection above, Rodriguez et al discloses all of the claimed subject matter. Applicant further argues that Rodriguez and Orii are non-analogous art and that, apparently, the examiner has used hindsight to reconstruct the claimed invention. It is submitted however that both Rodriguez et al and Orii are directed to snubber mechanisms and that one of ordinary skill in the art would consider the teachings of both references in the consideration of claims 4 and 5. Furthermore, applicant has given no substantial reasons why the examiner is using improper hindsight in the rejection of claims 7-9, 11-12 other than to infer that the rejection is not proper. The rejections of all the claims are still considered to be appropriate.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/954,766

Ärt Unit: 3654

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Jillions whose telephone number is (703) 308-2685. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:15 - 5:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

John M. Jillions Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654

jmj June 10, 2003